Vapor–Liquid Equilibria of Water + Alkylimidazolium-Based Ionic Liquids: Measurements and Perturbed-Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory Modeling
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ABSTRACT: The industrial application of ionic liquids (ILs) requires the knowledge of their physical properties and phase behavior. This work addresses the experimental determination of the vapor–liquid equilibria (VLE) of binary systems composed of water + imidazolium-based ILs. The ILs under consideration are 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium thiocyanate, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tosylate, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoracetate, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium methanesulfonate, and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate, which allows the evaluation of the influence of the IL anion through the phase behavior. Isochoric VLE data were measured at 0.05, 0.07, and 0.1 MPa for IL mole fractions ranging between 0 and 0.7. The observed increase in the boiling temperatures of the mixtures is related with the strength of the interaction between the IL anion and water. The Perturbed-Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory (PC-SAFT) was further used to describe the obtained experimental data. The ILs were treated as molecular associating species with two association sites per IL. The model parameters for the pure fluids and the binary interaction parameter $k_{ij}$ between water and ILs were determined by a simultaneous fitting to pure-IL densities, water activity coefficients at 298.15 K and VLE data at 0.1 MPa. Pure-IL densities, water activity coefficients, and VLE data were well described by PC-SAFT in broad temperature, pressure, and composition ranges. The PC-SAFT parameters were applied to predict the water activity coefficients at infinite dilution in ILs, and a satisfactory prediction of experimental data was observed.

INTRODUCTION

The absorption refrigeration is widely used in many fields, such as in military, air conditioning, electric power, steelmaking, chemical industry, and drugs manufacturing. This technology requires a working pair of fluids composed of refrigerant and absorbent. Many working fluid pairs are suggested in literature for absorption refrigeration, but there is still no ideal working fluid pair by now. The performance of absorption cycles depends on the thermodynamic properties of the working pair. Currently, the binary systems water + NH$_3$ and water + LiBr are applied as working fluid pairs in absorption refrigerators, but these systems have some disadvantages such as corrosion, crystallization, or toxicity. Therefore, the finding of more advantageous working pairs with good thermal stability without corrosive and crystallization effects has become a research focus in recent years. Several researchers demonstrated that the extreme values of the excess Gibbs function ($G_{\text{max}}$) can be used to evaluate the performance of the absorption cycle working pairs. Mixtures with negative deviation from the Raoult’s law usually exhibit a strong absorption performance. The $G_{\text{max}}$ of such mixtures are negative as the activity coefficients of the respective components are lower than unity. This causes large vapor pressure depressions and/or high boiling-point elevations.

Ionic liquids (ILs) have recently attracted increased attention because of their potential for absorption refrigeration. Due to their physical properties, ILs can be used as new cooling absorbents for absorption chillers or absorption heat pumps where one possible working pair might be composed of water (refrigerant) and IL (absorber). Thus, knowledge about thermodynamic properties and phase equilibria of water + IL solutions is fundamental to determine their applicability as...
absorption refrigeration systems and for a correct design and application of absorption processes.

A good working fluid pair for absorption refrigeration processes should show a large boiling-temperature elevation and/or vapor pressure depression. The boiling-temperature elevation of water depends on the kind of IL, on the IL and/or vapor pressure depression. The boiling-temperature processes should show a large boiling-temperature elevation a system could compete with the existing water + LiBr system. Wu et al. measured vapor pressures and the measurement of the binary vapor of water + 1,3-dimethylimidazolium chloride (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\)) as an alternative working pair for absorption cycles based on the measurement of the binary vapor–liquid equilibrium (VLE) data. Kim et al. showed broad theoretical work on various mixtures of refrigerants and ILs as working pairs for the absorption refrigeration system, and Zhang et al. simulated a single-effect absorption cycle using the water +1,3-dimethylimidazolium dimethylphosphate (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{DMP}]\)) and water +1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium dimethylphosphate (\([\text{C}_2\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{DMP}]\)) systems. Wu et al. measured vapor pressures and the VLE of water +1,3-dimethylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{BF}_4]\)) mixtures and suggested them as promising working pairs on a comparison basis with \([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{BF}_4]\)). Kim et al. measured the vapor pressures of water +1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Br}]\)) water + \([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\) and water +1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (\([\text{OHCH}_2\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{BF}_4]\)) systems in broad concentration and temperature ranges. Nie et al. suggested water +1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methylimidazolium chloride (\([\text{OHCH}_2\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\)) as a novel alternative working pair for the absorption heat pump cycle. The vapor pressure and specific heat capacity of binary solutions of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium diethylphosphate (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{DEP}]\)), \([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{DMP}]\), \([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{BF}_4]\) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium dibutylphosphate (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{DBP}]\)) with water, ethanol, or methanol were also investigated as new working pairs. Recently, Carvalho et al. measured the VLE of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\)), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\)), 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (\([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Cl}]\)), and choline chloride (\([\text{CH}_{3}\text{CH}_{2}\text{NH}_3][\text{Cl}]\)) with water and ethanol using a new isobaric microboullometer at pressures ranging from 0.05 to 0.1 MPa. These binary systems present negative deviations from Raoult’s Law and negative excess enthalpies, suggesting that some of them could be appropriate working pairs for absorption chillers or absorption heat pumps.

Several thermodynamic models have been applied to describe thermodynamic properties and phase equilibria of IL aqueous solutions, namely activity-coefficient models, equations of state (EoS), and unimolecular quantum chemistry calculations. For instance, Domańska and Marciniak described the liquid–liquid equilibrium (LLE) and the solid–liquid equilibrium (SLE) of the 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amide (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{NTf}_2]\)) aqueous system with the Non-Random Two-Liquid (NRTL) and the Wilson models. The VLE of the same system was also described with the modified UNIversal Functional Activity Coefficients (UNIFAC) by Nebig et al. Li et al. applied the Square-Well Chain Fluid with Variable Range EoS (SWCF-VR) to model thermodynamic properties of aqueous solutions of ILs, and Wang et al. used the same EoS to describe the VLE of several systems constituted by water + [NTf2]-based ILs. Banarjee et al. applied the CONductor-like Screening MOdel for Realistic Solvation (COSMO-RS)—a predictive model based on unimolecular quantum chemistry calculations—to predict the VLE of water + alkyldimidazolium-based ILs. Freire et al. studied the VLE and LLE of a large range of water + IL mixtures using COSMO-RS. Carvalho et al. recently applied the NRTL model to correlate VLE data of binary mixtures of water + IL and ethanol + IL and the nonideal behavior of the liquid phase solutions.

In recent years, a physically grounded theoretical approach, the Statistical Associating Fluid Theory (SAFT), has been shown to be able to describe thermophysical properties and phase behaviors of ILs as well as their mixtures. Within this framework, the strong interactions between anions and cations are represented through specific association sites. Vega et al. used soft-SAFT to describe the LLE of water + imidazolium-based ILs, using three maximum association sites mimicking the strong interactions between the anion and the cation. Paduszyński and Domańska used the Perturbed-Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory (PC-SAFT) to calculate the LLE and the SLE of water + ILs. The authors modeled the ILs using ten association sites to represent the cation–anion interactions. Nann et al. modeled 1-butanol + IL mixtures with the 2B association approach for ILs in order to predict the LLE of water +1-butanol + IL systems accurately with PC-SAFT. Ji et al. used ePC-SAFT to predict the CO2 solubilities in ILs with pure-IL parameters obtained from the fitting to pure-IL liquid densities.

In this work, the VLE (\(T_x\)) of several systems composed of water + imidazolium-based ILs, covering different families of anions, were experimentally measured at different pressures and in a broad composition range. In addition, the PC-SAFT EoS, using two association sites per molecular IL, was used to describe the experimental data. PC-SAFT parameters for the molecular ILs were regressed to pure-IL density data, VLE and water activity coefficient data of the studied mixtures. In a second step, these parameters were applied to predict the water infinite dilution activity coefficients of some water + IL systems and a comparison with literature and experimental data measured in this work is presented and discussed.

The physical properties of pure ILs and the phase behavior of water + IL mixtures strongly depend on the IL cation and anion. In this work, new insights regarding IL-water interactions were inferred through the new experimental data and the respective PC-SAFT modeling.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials.** Eight imidazolium-based ILs were investigated, namely 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoromethanesulfonate (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]\)), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium thiocyanate (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{SCN}]\)), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tosylate (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{TOS}]\)), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium trifluoroacetate (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{Tf}^\text{O}]\)), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium perchlorate (\([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{ClO}_4]\)), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrachloro-
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Figure 1. Chemical structures of the studied ILs (i) [C4C1im]-[CF3SO3]; (ii) [C4C1im][SCN]); (iii) [C4C1im][TOS]; (iv) 
(C4C1im)[C1SO3]; (v) [C4C1im][C1CO2]; and (viii) [C4C1im][C1CO2].

Experimental Equipment. Vapor—Liquid Equilibria. The 
VLE studies were carried out in an isobaric microebulliometer at different fixed pressures of 0.05, 0.07, and 0.1 MPa. The 
isobaric microebulliometer apparatus used, and methodology is described in detail elsewhere.

The equilibrium temperature of the liquid phase was measured, with an uncertainty of 0.05 K, with a fast response glass-sealed Pt100 class 1/10, which was calibrated prior to the 
measurements by comparison with a NIST-certified Fluke RTD25 standard thermometer (U.S.A.). The internal pressure 
of the ebulliometer was kept constant through a vacuum pump 
Büchi V-700 and a V-850 Büchi (Switzerland), for pressure monitoring and pressure controller unit. Pressure was measured 
with a Baratron type capacitance Manometer, MKS model 
728A (U.S.A.), with an accuracy of 0.5%. This equipment was 
placed in the vacuum line away from the microebulliometer. 
Equilibrium was assumed after 30 min of constant and smooth boiling (reflux) at constant pressure. The mixture composition 
was determined with an Anton Paar Abbemat 500 Refracto-
tometer (Austria), with an uncertainty of 2 \times 10^{-5} nD, using a 
calibration curve established prior to the measurements. The adequacy of the apparatus to measure this type of systems was 
previously confirmed. Additionally, the apparatus was applied 
to measure the VLE of pure compounds (ethanol, water, p-
xylene, and decane) covering the temperature range of interest 
for the water + IL systems studied in this work. An uncertainty 
of the boiling temperatures of 0.1 K was observed. The IL was 
kept under moderate vacuum (1 Pa) for at least 30 min before 
the measurements to ensure no water absorption from 
atmosphere during equilibration.

Activity Coefficients at Infinite Dilution. Inverse chromato-
graphy experiments were carried out using a Bruker 450-GC 
gas chromatograph equipped with a heated on-column injector and a TCD detector. The injector and detector temperatures 
were kept constant at 523 K during all experiments. To obtain 
adequate retention times, the helium flow rate was adjusted. Air 
was used to determine the column hold-up time. Exit gas flow 
rates were measured with a soap bubble flow meter. The 
temperature of the oven was determined with a Pt100 probe 
and controlled with an uncertainty of 0.1 K. A computer 
directly recorded the detector signals and the corresponding 
chromatograms were generated using the Galaxie Chromatog-
raphy Software. Using a rotary evaporation preparatory 
technique, 1.0 m length columns were packed with a stationary 
phase, consisting of 0.20 to 0.35 mass fraction of IL on 
Chromosorb WHP (60–80 mesh) sorbent media. After the 
solvent (ethanol) evaporation, under vacuum, the support was 
left to equilibrate, at 333 K during 6 h. Prior to the 
measurements, each packed column was conditioned for 12 h 
at 363 K with a helium flow rate of 20 cm^3\cdot\text{min}^{-1}. The packing 
level was calculated from the masses of the packed and empty 
columns and was checked throughout experiments. The weight 
of the stationary phase was determined with a precision of 
\pm0.0003 g. A headspace sample volume of \((1\pm5) \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3 \) 
was injected to satisfy infinite dilution conditions. In order 
to confirm reproducibility, each experiment was repeated at least 
three times. Retention times were rigorously reproducible with an 
uncertainty of 0.5–2 s. To verify the stability under these 
experimental conditions, ruling out elution of the stationary 
phase by the helium stream, measurements of retention time 
were repeated systematically each day for three solutes. No 
changes in the retention times were observed during this study.

The retention data garnered by inverse chromatography experiments were used to calculate partition coefficients of 
water in the different ILs. The standardized retention volume, 
\( V_N \), was calculated following the relationship:

\[
V_N = JU_0\left( \frac{T_{\text{in}}}{T_{\text{out}}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{P_0}{P_{\text{out}}} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the adjusted retention time, \( t'_{0} \), was taken as the 
difference between the retention time of water and that of air, 
\( T_{\text{in}} \) is the column temperature, \( U_0 \) is the flow rate of the carrier 
gas measured at room temperature \( \left( T_{\text{in}} \right) \), \( P_0 \) is the vapor 
pressure of water at \( T_{\text{in}} \), and \( P_{\text{out}} \) is the outlet pressures.

The factor \( J \) in eq 1 corrects for the influence of the pressure 
drop along the column and is given through the relation:

\[
J = \frac{\left( \frac{P_{\text{in}}}{P_{\text{out}}} \right)^2 - 1}{\left( \frac{P_{\text{in}}}{P_{\text{out}}} \right)^3 - 1}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( P_{\text{in}} \) is the inlet pressure.
Activity coefficients at infinite dilution of water in each IL, \( \gamma_{w}^{\infty} \), were calculated with the following equation: 58,59

\[
\ln(\gamma_{w}^{\infty}) = \ln\left(\frac{p_{w}}{p_{w}^{0}}\right) - \frac{B_{w}v_{w}}{RT} + \left(\frac{2R_{w}v_{w}^{0} - B_{w}v_{w}}{RT}\right)\rho_{w}^{out}
\]

(3)

where \( n_{w} \) is the number of moles of stationary phase component within the column, \( R \) is the ideal gas constant, \( T \) is the oven temperature, \( B_{w} \) is the second virial coefficient of the solute in the vapor state at temperature \( T \), \( B_{w3} \) is the mutual virial coefficient between water and the carrier gas (helium, denoted by "3"), and \( p_{w}^{0} \) is the probe’s vapor pressure at temperature \( T \). The values of \( p_{w}^{0} \) result from correlated experimental data. The molar volume of the water, \( V_{w} \), was determined from experimental densities, and the partial molar volumes of the water at infinite dilution, \( V_{w}^{0} \), were assumed to be equal to \( V_{w} \). The values required for the calculation of these parameters were taken from previous works.60

**Degree of Dissociation.** The degree of dissociation of the [C4C1im][Br] and [C4C1im][Cl] aqueous mixtures was determined through electrical conductivity with a Mettler Toledo S47 SevenMultiTM dual meter pH/conductivity, coupled with an InLab741 Conductivity Probe as electrode. Aqueous solutions of [C4C1im][Br] and [C4C1im][Cl] with concentration ranging between 0.5 and 2.5 mol·L\(^{-1}\) were prepared and used to measure the electrical conductivities (cf. Supporting Information). Finally, the degree of dissociation was determined using the following equation:

\[
\alpha = \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_{0}}
\]

(4)

where \( \Lambda \) is the molar conductivity and \( \Lambda_{0} \) is the molar conductivity at infinite dilution. The molar conductivity at infinite dilution was extrapolated through the representation of molar conductivity in function of IL concentration.

**Thermodynamic Modeling.** **PC-SAFT.** In this work, PC-SAFT 61,62 was applied to describe experimental pure-IL and water + IL mixture data. The PC-SAFT EoS is based on the first-order thermodynamic perturbation theory 63–66 that uses a system of freely jointed hard spheres as reference, designated as hard-chain system. PC-SAFT, as other SAFT approaches, is especially suited to treat chain molecules, and it is hence appropriate to consider IL systems.

In PC-SAFT the dimensionless residual Helmholtz energy, \( a^{res} \), is defined as

\[
a^{res} = a^{hc} + a^{disp} + a^{assoc} + a^{polar}
\]

(5)

where the superscripts refer to the terms accounting for the residual, hard-chain fluid, dispersive, associative, and polar interactions, respectively. The polar term was not considered in this work as previous studies reported that the polar term has only a slight influence on the PC-SAFT description of IL systems, even for non-negligible dipole moments.67

In PC-SAFT, a nonassociating component \( i \) is provided with three pure-component parameters, namely the segment number, \( m^{ai} \), the segment diameter, \( \sigma_{i} \), and the van der Waals interaction (dispersion) energy parameter between two segments, \( u_{i}/k_{B} \) in which \( k_{B} \) is the Boltzmann constant. For associating molecules, PC-SAFT requires two additional parameters, which are the association-energy parameter, \( \epsilon_{AB}/k_{B} \), and the association-volume parameter, \( k_{i3}^{AB} \). For each IL two association sites (the 2B association scheme) were applied.68

The conventional Lorenz–Berthelot combining rules are used for mixtures and described according to

\[
\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{j})
\]

(6)

\[
u_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{i} \mu_{j}}{(1 - k_{ij})}}
\]

(7)

where \( k_{ij} \) is a binary parameter between two components \( i \) and \( j \) for the correction of the cross-dispersion energy. In this work, the ILs were considered to be present as ion pairs and thus dispersion among IL molecules was taken into account. In contrast, charge–charge interactions among the ILs were neglected.

Simple combining rules for cross-association interactions between two substances were suggested by Wolbach and Sandler 69 and used in this work:

\[
\epsilon_{AB} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{A} + \epsilon_{B})
\]

(8)

\[
k_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{k_{A}k_{B}}{k_{A} + k_{B}}}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{A}^{2} - \sigma_{B}^{2}}}{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{A} + \sigma_{B})}\right)
\]

(9)

**Calculation of Activity Coefficients and VLE with PC-SAFT.** The water activity coefficient, \( \gamma_{w} \), is the ratio of the water fugacity coefficient, \( \phi_{w}^{L} \), at the mole fraction \( x_{w} \) in a mixture, and the fugacity coefficient of the pure water, \( \phi_{w}^{w} \):

\[
\gamma_{w}(T, p, x_{w}) = \frac{\phi_{w}^{L}(T, p, x_{w})}{\phi_{w}^{w}(T, p, x_{w} = 1)}
\]

(10)

where \( T \) and \( p \) represent a fixed temperature and pressure, respectively. The water fugacity coefficients can be calculated with PC-SAFT, and the exact relationship is given elsewhere.70

The water activity coefficient at infinite dilution is calculated using the following equation:

\[
\gamma_{w}^{\infty}(T, p, x_{w}) = \frac{\phi_{w}^{L,0}(T, p, x_{w} \rightarrow 0)}{\phi_{w}^{L,0}(T, p, x_{w} = 1)}
\]

(11)

where \( \phi_{w}^{L,0} \) is the water fugacity coefficient at infinite dilution, which can be determined using PC-SAFT.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Experimental Results.** The boiling temperatures of seven water + IL systems were measured at three different pressures (0.05, 0.07, and 0.1 MPa) in a broad composition range using a microeuliometer designed by us for studying IL mixtures and previously validated and described elsewhere.83 For the systems studied here, only the binary mixture formed by water + [C4C1im][CF3SO3] was previously reported by Orchilles et al.71 A good agreement between our data and literature was found.71 For the systems studied here, only the binary mixture formed by water + [C4C1im][CF3SO3] was previously reported by Orchilles et al.71 The boiling temperatures of seven water + IL systems studied in this work were reported.83

The experimental VLE data for the systems measured in this work at 0.05, 0.07, and 0.1 MPa are listed in Tables S4–S10 in Supporting Information. The results obtained at 0.1 MPa are depicted in Figure 2. The data show that all the ILs studied increase the boiling temperature of the related aqueous mixtures in different extents. The influence of ILs through the boiling temperatures follows the order: [C4C1im][CF3SO3]
< \{C_4C_1im\}[CF_3SO_3] < \{C_4C_1im\}[SCN] < \{C_4C_1im\}[CF_3CO_2] < \{C_4C_1im\}[TOS] < \{C_4C_1im\}Br < \{C_4C_1im\}Cl < \{C_4C_1im\}[C_1SO_3] < \{C_4C_1im\}-[C_1CO_2]. Since all the ILs studied have a common cation, the observed differentiation is essentially related to the effect of the anion on the water−IL interaction. In fact, a close agreement was identified between the IL's ability to increase the boiling temperature of water + IL binary solutions, and their hydrogen-bond basicity values determined by solvatochromic probes.\textsuperscript{72} ILs with higher hydrogen-bond basicity values interact more strongly with water and stronger IL−water interactions difficult the evaporation of the water molecules, yielding thus higher boiling-point elevations and vapor pressure depressions. The observed behavior is in agreement with previous VLE data by Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{41} and by Heym et al.\textsuperscript{12} The researchers\textsuperscript{12,41} found that the ILs with higher ionic hydration ability cause a higher boiling-point elevation than other solutes, such as glycols. As an example, it can be observed in Figure 2, that the boiling-point elevation observed for a water mole fraction of 0.72 is ca. 6.2 K for \{C_4C_1im\}[CF_3SO_3] and 27.2 K for \{C_4C_1im\}[C_1SO_3]. The fluorination of the methanesulfonate anion is responsible for this effect, supported by the fact that fluorinated anions are only able to form weak hydrogen bonds with water.\textsuperscript{73} This effect can also be observed by comparing the boiling temperature elevation caused by ILs containing the anion acetate with trifluoroacetate where the same trend was observed.

From Figure 2 it is also visible that the boiling-point elevation increases almost linearly for those ILs that weakly interact (low boiling-point elevations) with water, namely \{C_4C_1im\}[CF_3SO_3] and \{C_4C_1im\}[SCN]. In contrast, ILs such as \{C_4C_1im\}[C_1SO_3] and \{C_4C_1im\}[C_1CO_2], which present stronger interactions with water by hydrogen-bonding (high boiling-point elevation), display a nonlinear boiling-point elevation.

Figures 3 and 4 depict the VLE of several water + IL systems as a function of composition and pressure. It can be seen that pressure does not have a strong influence on the concentration-dependence of the boiling-point elevations as the T−x curves at different pressures are almost parallel to each other.

It is well-known that the volatility of an aprotic IL is negligible, and thus, the vapor phase of a binary mixture containing an IL and a molecular and volatile solvent is only constituted by the latter. Moreover, at the pressure and temperatures studied, the vapor phase fugacity coefficients are very close to unity for the compounds studied, and therefore, eq 10 can be simplified to

\[
g_w = \frac{p}{x_w p_w^o} \tag{12} \]

where \(p\) is the system total pressure, \(x\) the mole fraction of the liquid phase and \(p_w^o\) is the water vapor pressure.\textsuperscript{74,75} Using eq 12, the water activity coefficients can be estimated and are presented in Figure 5 at 0.1 MPa.

Negative deviation to the ideal, which translates into activity coefficients lower than unity, results from the stronger IL−water interactions than the water−water. These stronger interactions force to retain the water molecules in the liquid phase, and higher potential is required to transfer water molecules into the vapor phase. Thus, the water boiling temperature will increase as observed in the VLE experimental data. Moreover, this effect is stronger for the ILs with higher interactions with water (higher hydrogen-bond basicity). In fact, the same trend observed before for the VLE is observed for the water activity coefficients: \{C_4C_1im\}[CF_3SO_3] < \{C_4C_1im\}-
The water activity coefficient may be related with the solvent hydrophobicity. All the studied ILs are considered hydrophilic since they are fully water-soluble. However, their hydrophilicity is not the same. For ILs with pronounced hydrophilic character (for example, [C4mim][CF3CO2] and [C4mim][SCN]) the interactions between IL and water are very strong resulting in very low water activity coefficients. In general, the less hydrophilic the IL the higher is the water activity coefficient. On the other hand, for the ILs with the weakest hydrophilic character ([C4mim][TOS] and [C4mim][SCN]), the water activity coefficients are slightly positive at low IL concentrations reflecting the weaker IL–water interaction. The influence of ions in an aqueous system is usually well described by the Hofmeister series or their ability to form hydration complexes. All the studied ILs are considered hydrophilic compounds, the di-ion pairs can occur, causing a lower IL interaction with water. This phenomenon can be observed and quantified through the degree of dissociation represented in Figure 5 for both [C4mim][Br] and [C4mim][Cl].

At 2 mol·kg⁻¹ the degree of dissociation is 0.336 for [C4mim][Br] and 0.361 for [C4mim][Cl]. As expected, due to the similarities in structure and size of the ions composing these compounds, the differences observed between both ILs degree of dissociation are not very large. However, the differences observed are in agreement with the results obtained in this work for the water activity coefficients. [C4mim][Cl] has a higher dissociation degree consequently meaning a higher interaction with water.

Held et al. reported a similar behavior in the water activity coefficients of aqueous solutions of electrolytes containing the anions hydroxides, fluorides, and acetates. For these salts, the water activity coefficients presented a reverse sequence compared to the alkali salts trend. This behavior was justified by the possibility of occurring a localized hydrolysis in presence of anions with strong ability to accept protons, which results in the decreasing of the number of ions in the solution.

In Figure 6, it is also represented the degree of dissociation for [C4mim][SCN], one of the less hydrophilic ILs studied in this work. As expected, a lower degree of dissociation (0.218 at 2 mol·kg⁻¹) compared to both [C4mim][Br] and [C4mim][Cl] was obtained for this IL, which is in agreement with the results obtained by Yee et al. showing that the tendency for dissociation decreases with the anion hydrophobicity.

![Figure 5. Water activity coefficients, γᵢ, as function of the IL molality in binary solutions of water + IL at 0.1 MPa: [C4mim][CF3SO3] (+), [C4mim][C1SO3] (×), [C4mim][TOS] (green triangle), [C4mim][Br] (blue asterisk), [C4mim][Cl] (yellow rectangle), [C4mim][C1CO2] (red square), and [C4mim][CF3CO2] (blue diamond). PC-SAFT correlation results are presented by the solid lines and parameters values can be found in Table 1.](Image)

![Figure 6. Degree of dissociation, α, as function of the IL molality in binary solutions of water + IL at 298.15 K and atmospheric pressure: [C4mim][SCN] (green triangle), [C4mim][Br] (blue asterisk), and [C4mim][Cl] (yellow rectangle).](Image)
see that ILs only present an extensive dissociation in dilute solutions and that at the concentration range studied in this work assuming ion pairs instead of dissociated ions is an acceptable approach.

The PC-SAFT parameters for the ILs were determined by a simultaneous regression of pure-IL densities,\(^{87–92}\) water activity coefficients at 298.15 K,\(^{92}\) and the VLE data of the water + IL binary mixtures at 0.1 MPa (determined in this work) using the following objective function:

\[
\text{OF} = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\rho_{i}^{\text{exp}} - \rho_{i}^{\text{calc}}}{\rho_{i}^{\text{exp}}} \right)^2 + \sum_{i} \left( \frac{T_{i}^{\text{exp}} - T_{i}^{\text{calc}}}{T_{i}^{\text{exp}}} \right)^2 + \sum_{i} \left( \frac{T_{i}^{b,\text{exp}} - T_{i}^{b,\text{calc}}}{T_{i}^{b,\text{exp}}} \right)^2
\]

All the PC-SAFT parameters for the ILs considered in this work are summarized in Table 1, including the parameters of water that were taken from literature.\(^94\)

As a result of the parameter estimation, the association-energy parameters were found to be zero for all ILs with negative deviations to ideality. Since all these ILs are strongly hydrophilic, this result may be related with the possibility of each IL molecule to be completely surrounded by water molecules and thereby hindering direct IL−IL hydrogen bonds. In contrast, for [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][CF\(_3\)SO\(_3\)], a nonzero association-energy parameter was obtained. [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][CF\(_3\)CO\(_2\)] is less hydrophilic, presenting positive deviation to ideality. The association-volume parameters seem to follow a very specific order, as presented in Table 1: [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][CH\(_3\)CO\(_2\)] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][C\(_1\)SO\(_3\)] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][Cl] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][Br] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][TOS] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][CF\(_3\)CO\(_2\)] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][SCN] > [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][CF\(_3\)SO\(_3\)]. This order clearly shows that the PC-SAFT association strength is in agreement with the experimentally observed sequence of water activity coefficients, meaning that water−IL interactions due to hydrogen bonding are correctly being taken into account within the PC-SAFT framework.

The results obtained for the segment number (\(m_{\text{seg}}^{\text{eq}}\)) and the segment diameter (\(u\)) are within reasonable ranges. The segment diameter varies between 2.75 Å for [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][C\(_1\)SO\(_3\)] and 3.60 Å for [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][Br]. The segment numbers oscillate considerably with the anion that constitutes the IL. The segment number and the segment diameter parameters of the ILs depend linearly on the molecular weight (\(M_w\)) according to the following equation:

\[
m_{\text{seg}}^{\text{eq}}u^3 = 0.582M_w + 133.09 (\text{Å}^3)
\]

The values for the dispersion-energy parameters of the ILs are also reasonable, ranging between 175.62 K for [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][TOS] and 447.15 K for [C\(_4\)C\(_1\)im][Br]. This parameter does not depend linearly on the molecular weight.

The fitting errors of the pure-IL density, water activity coefficient, and VLE of water + IL binary mixtures are expressed as the average relative deviation (ARD) between modeled and experimental data:

\[
\text{ARD} = 100 \times \frac{1}{NP} \sum_{i=1}^{NP} \left( 1 - \frac{z_{i}^{\text{mod}}}{z_{i}^{\text{exp}}} \right)
\]

where \(NP\) is the number of experimental data points and the superscripts “mod” and “exp” are the modeled results and experimental data.
PC-SAFT pure-IL densities are in good agreement with the experimental data with small deviations. To give an example, the ARD values range between 0.42% for the pure-IL densities of \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Br}\) and 2.53% for \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{][C}_1\text{CO}_2\] as depicted in Figure 7.

![Figure 7](image1)

**Figure 7.** Experimental pure-IL density data, \(\rho_{\text{IL}}\), as a function of temperature at atmospheric pressure: \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Br}\) (blue asterisk), \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[CF}_3\text{CO}_2\] (X), \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[C}_1\text{SO}_3\] (red square). PC-SAFT correlation results are presented by the solid lines and the pure compound parameter values can be found in Table 1.

The modeling results obtained with the PC-SAFT for the water + IL binary systems are presented hereafter. A temperature-independent binary interaction parameter (\(k_{ij}\)) fitted to VLE data at 0.1 MPa and water activity coefficients at 298.15 K \(^{93}\) was used for each binary system. Some of the results obtained are illustrated in Figure 8, where the activity coefficients are shown as function of the molality of the IL (i.e., mole of IL per kg of water solvent). It can be observed, from Figure 8, that PC-SAFT provides a good description of the behavior of both the more and the less hydrophobic ILs. The ARD values range between 1.59% for \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{][C}_1\text{SO}_3\] and 5.28% for \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{][C}_1\text{CO}_2\].

The PC-SAFT modeling of the VLE for the systems measured in this work are presented in Figures 3 and 4 for the ILs \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[CF}_3\text{SO}_3\] and \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[C}_1\text{SO}_3\] respectively. The results are in good agreement with the experimental data: 0.1 MPa with an overall ARD of 3.04%. As expected, the water activity coefficients at 0.1 MPa for the binary systems are also well described by PC-SAFT, as observed in Figure 5. It is also shown that PC-SAFT can successfully be used to predict the VLE data at 0.07 and 0.05 MPa with an overall ARD of 3.82% and 5.20%, respectively. It should be emphasized that all IL pure-component PC-SAFT parameters and binary interaction parameters are temperature independent.

Finally, PC-SAFT was applied to predict the water activity coefficients at infinite dilution in ILs. In fact, for the ILs studied in this work, the experimental data for the water activity coefficients cover very large concentration ranges in most cases. However, data at such high IL molalities are difficult (and sometimes impossible depending on the IL) to experimentally determine due to solubility, viscosity, or decomposition concerns. Thus, it is strongly desirable to have a model that is able to estimate the water activity coefficients at infinite dilution either predicting them or by extrapolation of the available data. Table 2 lists experimental water activity coefficients at infinite dilution and predicted with PC-SAFT, as well as AD and AAD values (calculated with Equations 16 and 17).

![Figure 8](image2)

**Figure 8.** Experimental water activity coefficients, \(\gamma^\infty_w\), at 298.15 K \(^{93}\) as a function of the IL molality in binary solutions of water + IL at 298.15 K: \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[SCN}\] (green triangle), \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Br}\) (blue asterisk), \([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[C}_1\text{CO}_2\] (blue diamond). PC-SAFT correlation results are presented by the solid lines and parameters values can be found in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IL</th>
<th>experimental data</th>
<th>(\gamma^\infty_w)</th>
<th>AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[CF}_3\text{SO}_3]</td>
<td>0.929 (^{14})</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[SCN}]</td>
<td>0.302 (^{23})</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[TOS}]</td>
<td>0.167 (^{28})</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[CF}_3\text{CO}_2]</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[C}_1\text{SO}_3]</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Br})</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[C}_1\text{CO}_2]</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{[C}_1\text{SO}_3]</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PC-SAFT modeling used the model parameters presented in Table 1 fitted to VLE and water activity coefficients at finite concentrations and not to activity coefficients at infinite dilution. The results appear to be quite promising as the PC-SAFT predicted values were calculated through eqs 16 and 17 and are presented in Table 2.

\[ AD = \left| z_k^\text{exp} - z_k^\text{mod} \right| \]  

(16)

\[ AAD = \frac{1}{N_P} \sum_{k=1}^{N_P} \left| z_k^\text{exp} - z_k^\text{mod} \right| \]  

(17)

The PC-SAFT modeling used the model parameters presented in Table 1 fitted to VLE and water activity coefficients at finite concentrations and not to activity coefficients at infinite dilution. While PC-SAFT slightly overestimates the experimental data, the sequence of the experimental and predicted \(\gamma^\infty_w\) values are in good agreement.
agreement except for $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Br}$ and $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Cl}$. Availability of activity coefficients in a minimum concentration range seems thus to be required for an adequate prediction of the infinite dilution activity coefficients. For $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Br}$ and $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}]\text{Cl}$, this range seems to be insufficient.

## CONCLUSIONS

VLE data for seven water + imidazolium-based IL systems covering different families of anions at three different pressures were measured in this work. The results indicate that the imidazolium-based ILs studied cause boiling-point elevations of different degrees according to the interaction strengths between water and the IL that mainly depends on the nature of the IL anion.

The PC-SAFT equation of state was used for the description of the experimental data. The PC-SAFT pure-component parameters and the binary interaction parameter were determined by a simultaneous fitting to pure-IL densities, water activity coefficients at 298.15 K, and VLE data at 0.10 MPa. The binary interaction parameters were considered to be temperature independent. This set of parameters allowed for quantitative modeling the pure-IL density, water activity coefficients at 298.15 K, and the VLE at 0.10 MPa for the water + IL binary mixtures. VLE at pressures different from 0.10 MPa can be predicted reasonably with PC-SAFT by using binary interaction parameters fitted to VLE data at 0.10 MPa. Moreover, PC-SAFT is capable to satisfactorily predict water activity coefficients at infinite dilution for systems where model parameters were adjusted to water activity coefficients at low IL molalities.
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